

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/715,591	PATO ET AL.	
	Examiner Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1645	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., primary examiner.. (3) _____.

(2) Michael R. Wesolowski, Reg. No. 50,944. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: claims of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney of record authorized an Examiner's Amendment to cancel claims 1-12 and 15-23 and amend claims 13 and 14. This places the claims 13 and 14 into form for allowance..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645	
--	--